







1:	5-20% less cost when using 3 1-35% less cost when using 4	10% RAP; 50 % RAP				
ຽບຣ 3.00	-\$us10.00 per HMA Ton (NC	HRP 9-58, 2020				
Fime Period of Study	Findings	Source				
Pre-2000	Using 20% to 50% RAP may provide cost savings of 20% to 50% when materials and construction costs were considered. This is a potential savings of 1% of mixture cost for every 1% of RAP used.	Kandhal and Mallick (1997)				
2004 and 2006	Savings of about 7% to 8% with 10% RAP, 15% with 20% RAP, and 20% to 22% with 30% RAP.	Vukosavlievic (2006)				
2006	Using 20% RAP had the potential to save about \$42 million worth of asphalt cement a year.	Ontario Hot Mix Producers Association (2007)				
2007	Evaluated bid costs for three projects, but found mixed results and noted more data were needed.	Maupin et al. (2008)				
2010	Reported Florida DOT estimates recycling program saved over \$38 million in materials costs in 2010. About 78% of all Florida mixtures contained RAP (average about 20%).					
2011	Estimated savings to state of \$3 to \$5 a ton of mix when using between 5% and 7% of RAS (Missouri).	West and Willis (2014)				
2012	About 5% RAS can reduce mix cost by about 13% (Texas). Combination of RAS/RAP may reduce cost by up to 20%.					
2012	Material cost savings calculated as between 15% and 20% when using 30% RAP, and between 31% and 35% with 50% RAP. Willis et al. (2012)					























State	Specification Section (Source)	Terminology	Characteristics
Iowa	SS-0139, 2006	Classified	Documented source, defined quality of materials
		Unclassified	Unknown source; visual inspection for uniformity; tested for gradation and asphalt content
Iowa	2303	Designated RAP	Obtained from project; used on same project
		Active stockpiles	Term used but not defined
		Certified RAP	Sources known and no more than two sources in the same stockpile; stockpiles separated by aggregate quality and gradation, asphalt type, and content; no additional RAP added once tested
		Standard RAP	100% passing 2-in. screen (nonsurface mixtures) 100% passing 34-in. screen (surface mixtures)
Ohio	401.04	Extended RAP	Fractionated or additional in-line processing of already approved stockpile; quality control plan. <i>In-line processing</i> : Double deck screen between cold feed bin and mixer with 9/16-in. screen for surface mixtures; 1.5-in. screen for base mixtures.
Florida	334-2.3.3	Continuous	RAP from one or more sources; processed, blended, or fractionated and stockpiled in a continuous manner; QC plan for monitoring gradation and asphalt content; visual inspection and review of data for suitability assessment
		Noncontinuous	Individual (single) stockpile with known gradation and asphalt













Binder Selection Guidelines for RAP Mixtures (AASHTO M 323) Recommended Virgin Asphalt Binder RAP **Performance Grade (PG)** Percentage No change in binder selection <15 Select virgin binder one grade softer than normal (Select a PG 58-28 if a PG 64-22 15-25 would normally be used.) Follow recommendations from blending >25 charts MARC MODIFIED ASPHALT RESEARCH CENTER The University of Wisconsin - Madison

Specified	1.0	Minimum ABR for Recycled Material Asphalt Mixtures				
Asphalt Grade	Lift	RAP only	RAS only	RAP and RAS		
PG XX-28 PG 52-34	Wear	70	70	70		
PG 49-34 PG 64-22	Non-Wear	70	70	65		
PG 58-34	Wear			100		
PG 64-34 PG 70-34	Non-Wear	80	80	80		









TABLE 1 Physical Properties of Hot-Mix Recycling Agents Norm: I—Compliance requires the asphalt binder be extracted from the pavement to be recycled and combined with the recycling agent being te This combination should be in accordance with ratio of recycling agent to recovered asphalt binder used in the mix. The resulting mixture must I ASTM Test RA 75 RA 25 RA 75 RA 250 RA 500 Method Min Max	Note 1—Compliance This combination should all specifications for the	requires the	TAE e asphalt bir	BLE 1	Physical Pr			10 (2)	(10)					
ASTM Test RA 1 RA 5 RA 25 RA 75 RA 250 RA 500 Viscosity • 60 °C [140 °F], mm²/s Min Max Min Max	-	appropriate	e grade with	nder be h ratio hin Spe	extracted fr of recycling ocification D	ropertion rom the agent 946 or	es of Hot-N pavement to to recovered Table 1, 2 o	o be rec asphalior 3 of 5	ycled and binder us pecificatio	combined in the D338	ed with the e mix. The 1.	recycli resulti	ng agent be ng mixture	ing teste must me
Test Method Min Max Min		ASTM	RA	1	RA	5	RA	25	RA	75	RA 2	50	RA	500
Viscosity • 60 °C [140 °F], D2170 50 175 176 900 901 4500 4501 12500 12501 37500 37501 600 mm ² /s or D2171 Flash Point, COC, °C [°F] D92 219 [425] 219 [425] 219 [425] 219 [425] 219 [425] 219 [425] 30 30 Saturates, wt, % D2007 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 from RTFO or TFO oven D1754 183 °C [325 °F]	Test	Method	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max
Flash Point, COC, °C [°F] De2 219 [425] 30 </th <td>Viscosity • 60 °C [140 °F], mm²/s</td> <td>D2170 or D2171</td> <td>50</td> <td>175</td> <td>176</td> <td>900</td> <td>901</td> <td>4500</td> <td>4501</td> <td>12500</td> <td>12501</td> <td>37500</td> <td>37501</td> <td>60000</td>	Viscosity • 60 °C [140 °F], mm ² /s	D2170 or D2171	50	175	176	900	901	4500	4501	12500	12501	37500	37501	60000
Saturates, wf, % D2007 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 from RTFO or or TFO oven D1754 163 *C [525*F]	Flash Point, COC, °C [°F]	D92	219 [425]	***	219 [425]	***	219 [425]	***	219 [425]	***	219 [425]	***	219 [425]	
ice a face it	Saturates, wt, % Tests on Residue from RTFO or TFO oven 163 °C [325 °F]	D2007 D2872 or D1754	***	30	***	30	***	30		30		30		30
Viscosity Ratio ⁴	Viscosity Ratio ⁴		***	3		3		3	***	3		3		3
Wt Change, ±, % * 4 3	Wt Change, ±, % Specific Gravity	D70 or	Report	4	Report	4	Report	3	Report	3	Report	3	Report	3



<section-header><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item>



Performance of High RAP Mixtures NCAT full Scale Testing

Test SectionRAP Content*RAP Asphalt, %		Virgin Asphalt Grade	Date of First Crack	ESALs at First Crack	Total Length of Cracking	
		Impact of Red	lucing Critical PG H	ligh Temperature	e (< 25% RAP)	
W4	20%	17.6	PG 67-22		No Cracking	
W3	20%	18.2	PG 76-22	4/7/2008	6,522,440	34.0
		Impaci	t of Reducing Critica	al PG High Temp RAP)	perature	
W5	45%	42.7	PG 58-28	8/22/2011	19,677,699	3.5
E5	45%	41.0	PG 67-22	5/17/2010	13,360,016	13.9
E6	45%	41.9	PG /6-22	2/15/2010	12,182,551	53.9
E7	45%	42.7	PG 76-22S	1/28/2008	5,587,906	145.5

*RAP asphalt content as a percentage of total aggregate.

**Percentage of RAP asphalt as a percentage of the total asphalt content. S = 1.5% Sasobit in virgin asphalt.



MARC ASPHALT RESEARCH CENTER











